

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

Sub
AI

- Sub
AI
1. A method for modeling a legacy computer system comprising:
- identifying incidents of applications of the
5 legacy computer system that output data; and
defining a control flow graph of the output incidents.
2. The method of Claim 1 further comprising:
- 10 identifying the value or type of the data fields associated with each output incident; and
attaching the value or type to the control flow graph.
3. The method of Claim 2 wherein identifying the value or type further comprises:
- identifying output incidents of invariant data fields; and
attaching the value of each invariant data field to
20 its associated control flow graph incident.
4. The method of Claim 2 wherein identifying the value or type further comprises:
- identifying output incidents of variant data fields;
25 and
attaching the type of each variant data field to its associated control flow graph incident.

Sub
Al

5. The method of Claim 1 wherein the control flow graph comprises:

~~plural nodes having associated arcs, each node associated with an output incident.~~

5

6. The method of Claim 5 wherein a complete control flow graph of the application (N,A) is used to compute a directed graph (N_R, A_R) wherein:

10 n comprises a node in N_R if n, an element of N ,
 starts an output process, stops an output process or
 outputs data; and

~~$\langle n_1, n_m \rangle$ comprises an arc in A_R if n_1 and n_m are in N_R and a sequence of arcs $\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle, \langle n_2, n_3 \rangle, \dots, \langle n_{m-1}, n_m \rangle$ is in A such that for i from 2 to $m-1$, n_i is not in N_R .~~

15

7. The method of Claim 6 further comprising:
defining the control flow graph as a formal grammar
that describes the flow paths from each start command to
the associated stop commands.

20

8. The method of Claim 1 further comprising:
associating the incidents with an Extensible Markup
Language schema; and

25 creating a specification to modify the legacy
computer system applications to provide output in
Extensible Markup Language format.

9. The method of Claim 8 further comprising:
automatically modifying the legacy computer system
30 applications in accordance with the specification.

30

~~SUB
AL~~ a leg

10. A system for modeling an output application of a legacy computer system comprising:

a modeling engine interfaced with the legacy computer system, the modeling engine operable to analyze an application loaded on the legacy computer system to identify incidents within the application that output data from the legacy computer system; and

a control flow graph of the output operations within the applications.

10

11. The system of Claim 10 wherein the control flow graph comprises plural nodes, each node associated with an output incident.

15

12. The system of Claim 11 wherein a complete control flow graph of the application (N,A) is used to compute a directed graph (N_R, A_R) wherein:

20

~~n comprises a node in N_R if n, an element of N, starts an output process, stops an output process or outputs data; and~~

$\langle n_1, n_m \rangle$ comprises an arc in A_R if n_1 and n_m are in N_R and a sequence of arcs $\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle, \langle n_2, n_3 \rangle, \dots, \langle n_{m-1}, n_m \rangle$ is in A such that for i from 2 to $m-1$, n_i is not in N_R .

25

13. The system of claim 10 wherein the control flow graph of the output operations comprises as a formal grammar that describes the flow paths from each start command to the associated stop commands.

[illegible]

